

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,501	01/08/2004	Shoji Ichinohe	4710-0105P	5138
2292	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			PENG, KUO LIANG	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1712	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/752,501	ICHINOHE, SHOJI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1712			
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/14/	05 Amendment.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/752,501

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Applicants' amendment filed on June 14, 2005 was received. Claim 2 is deleted. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are amended. Now, Claims 1 and 3-7 are pending.
- 2. Claim objection(s) in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 0305) is/are removed.
- 3. Claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 112 in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 0305) is/are removed.
- 4. Claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 102 as anticipated by Petroff (EP 995 771) in paragraph 5 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 0305) is/are removed.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action (Paper No. 0305).

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

6. Rejection of Claims 1 and 5-7 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Ichinohe (US 5 288 831) and rejection of Claims 3-4 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichinohe alone or optionally in view of Schilling (US 4 150 048) are maintained because the rejection is adequately set forth in paragraphs 4 and 7-8 of Paper No. 0305. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The focus argument related to the core patentability is discussed below.

Applicants' primarily argue that Ichinohe's free polyethers including polyethers containing terminated with alkenyl group and polyethers without alkenyl group (obtained after aqueous acid solution treatment) cannot be removed by vacuum distillation. (Remarks, page 6, 3rd paragraph to page 8, 3rd paragraph) However, Applicants' specification (page 7, 1st paragraph) clearly indicates that vacuum distillation is used to efficiently remove the unreacted polyether. (Emphasis added) Especially, Ichinohe's purification process comprises both acid treatment and vacuum distillation. (col. 6, lines 3-14) Therefore, Examiner has a reasonable basis to believe Ichinohe's polyetheresilicone should be much purer than that of Applicants'. As such, it also renders Applicants' argument irreverent

Art Unit: 1712

regarding the "speculated" amount of Ichinohe's residual free polyether as calculated by Applicants.

For Applicants' argument in Remarks (page 8, 4th paragraph to page 9, 1st paragraph), although Ichinohe focuses mostly on silicones modified at a silicon atom within the chain in Examples. However, this is merely a preferred embodiment. Certainly, Ichinohe does not teach away the modification of the silicones at a silicon atom at the chain end(s). See the formulae in col. 3, lines 1-44, wherein n can be 0. Since the present invention is anticipated by Ichinohe, the argument of unexpected results is improper.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date

Art Unit: 1712

of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from 8. the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp October 28, 2005

Kuo-Liang Peng Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712